v.3

1260 This whole sentence is a nightmare… “The original distribution of MyBulletinBoard (MyBB) to update from older versions to 1.0.2 omits or includes older versions of certain critical files, which allows attackers to conduct ...”

The original distribution of MyBulletinBoard (MyBB) to update from older versions to 1.0.2 omits or includes older versions of certain critical files, which allows attackers to conduct (1) SQL injection attacks via an attachment name that is not properly handled by inc/functions\_upload.php (CVE-2005-4602), and possibly (2) other attacks related to threadmode in usercp.php. <https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2006-0219>

2169 What should we do when they describe a product name that is not in the CPE? (did not count product names not stated in the CPE.) (NOT SURE) [STILL ADD]

2773 “If so, then this should not be treated as a vulnerability” (?? left as O)

3348 “It was later reported that 3.0.10 and earlier were affected” (I tagged this with the correct ranges. THE MODEL ALSO DID) [we need to clarify what happens when something is vr and vre at the same time. Maybe not a good example.]

7043 tough example for finding pn. “one plus”

<https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2017-5948>

7723 how to tag “up to” ? its technically up until? (TREATED THE SAME AS PRIOR TO)

<https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2018-1000086>
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6974; 7671 Not sure if this should be vr or vrs? Technically it’s saying 10.x? But can also be interpreted that version 10.0 is the start of the vulnerability. I left it as vrs because there isn’t an explicit 10.x, which might confuse the model if all prior tags for vr have a “.x”